# DMDC TR 99-05

# Evaluating Large-Scale Training Simulations

Volume I: Reference Manual

Henry Simpson

#### REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

large-scale training simulation, training evaluation, evaluation

OF THIS PAGE

18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

Unclassified

methods, training effectiveness, military training

Form Approved OMB No. 074-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE December 1999	3. REPORT TYPE AN	AND DATES COVERED		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	December 1999	FILIAL	5. FUNDING N	IIMREDS	
EVALUATING LARGE-SCALE	TRAINING SIMILATIONS	VOLUME T:	J. I ONDING N	ONIBERG	
REFERENCE MANUAL	TIMINING DIMODATIONS	, VOLOME 1.			
KEPEKENCE MANOAL					
6. AUTHOR(S)			1		
Henry Simpson					
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION N	AME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			IG ORGANIZATION	
			REPORT NU		
Defense Manpower Data C			DMDC Tech	nical Report 99-05	
DoD Center, Monterey Ba	У				
400 Gigling Road					
Seaside, CA 93955-6771					
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AG	SENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS	S(ES)		NG / MONITORING	
Deputy Under Secretary	of Defense (Deadines	~ \	AGENCYR	EPORT NUMBER	
4000 Defense, The Penta		5)			
Washington, DC 20301-40	-				
washington, bc 20301-40	00				
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
THE GOLF ELIMENTARY NOTES					
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY	STATEMENT			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
Approved for public rel	.ease; distribution i	s unlimited.			
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 Word	is)				
Objectives of the manual are	to (1) provide guidance t	o help analysts desig	n meaningful	training effectiveness	
evaluations, (2) describe procedures for alternative methods of conducting training effectiveness evaluations,					
and (3) provide examples of t	and (3) provide examples of training effectiveness evaluations that may be used as models to emulate. Chapter 1				
(Introduction) describes the problem and issues, objectives, and method. Chapter 2 (Building an Evaluation					
	Framework) explains why people conduct evaluations. Chapter 3 (Evaluation Methods) describes evaluation methods				
and provides examples of their application. Chapter 4 (Case Studies) describes well-documented evaluations:					
SIMNET/CCTT (Simulation Networking/Close Combat Tactical Trainer) and MDT2 (Multi-service Distributed Training					
Testbed). Chapter 5 (Evaluation Problem Areas) contrasts laboratory and field evaluations, discusses lessons					
learned from past evaluations, and critiques field evaluation practice. Chapter 6 (Procedural Guidance)					
identifies and summarizes published evaluation guidance. Chapter 7 (Evaluation Criteria) discusses how evaluation					
criteria differ depending upon evaluation method, for small- and large-scale evaluations, and depending upon evaluation perspective (training versus system developer versus modeling and simulation). Chapter 8 (Evaluation					
				_	
Framework) presents the evalu				•	
evaluation events, their purp	ose, and relevant depende	ent variables—linked t	o relevant exa	amples and procedural	
Lauidanao					

OF REPORT

17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

Unclassified

14. SUBJECT TERMS

15. NUMBER OF PAGES

16. PRICE CODE

19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

Unclassified

OF ABSTRACT

190

20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT

Unlimited



#### Preface

The Office of the Inspector General, Department of Defense, recommended that the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness establish policy and procedures to evaluate the training and cost-effectiveness of large-scale training simulations. One of the Under Secretary's responses to this request was to direct the Defense Manpower Data Center to develop guidelines to help evaluators conduct evaluations and to develop a historical training effectiveness data base. This volume describes the work performed by Defense Manpower Data Center in response to the Under Secretary's request and the resulting products and actions: Guidance to help evaluators design meaningful training effectiveness evaluations, descriptions of procedures for alternative methods, methodological examples, historical training effectiveness data base, and user access to the data base. These products and actions are intended to help the military Services determine when and how to evaluate the training and cost-effectiveness of largescale training simulations.

This manual consists of two volumes: I (Reference Manual) and II (User's Manual). Volume II is designed to give readers a quick start introduction to training evaluation and a roadmap to the more indepth content of Volume I. Readers are encouraged to start with Volume II.

The views expressed in this manual are those of the author, are not official, and do not necessarily reflect an official policy position of the Services, the Department of Defense, or the U.S. Government.

#### Acknowledgments

Dan Gardner sponsored the development of this manual. I thank him for his encouragement and patience.

More than two dozen individuals contributed ideas to this manual. The manual also uses many case studies based on 250 training evaluations conducted by literally hundreds of researchers. I have attempted to knit the ideas and evaluation practices into an overall evaluative framework for large-scale training simulations. The names of the many influences on this manual are too numerous to list here but will be evident from the citations.

Fred Hartman facilitated the review of early drafts of this manual. I thank him for providing many suggestions for improving the manual.

Thanks to Richard Kass for allowing me to reproduce his *Test* Officer's Guide for Designing Valid Tests and Experiments in Chapter 6.

Randy Marks of DMDC designed and composed the manual with the assistance of Aaron Toy, who created the illustration for the cover .

Dan Gardner, Fred Hartman, Jack Leather, and John Morrison reviewed this manual. Herbert Bell, David Bessemer, Edward George, Jack Hiller, Richard Kass, Douglas Macpherson, Angelo Mirabella, Frank Moses, Randy Oser, Robin Rose, and Uldi Shvern reviewed portions of the manual describing the evaluation framework. Organizational affiliations are shown below.

The individuals listed on the facing page provided information, opinions, documents, and in other ways supported the development of the manual. The presence of a name here or above does not indicate endorsement of the manual. I assume full responsibility for its contents and any errors, omissions, or oversights.

Henry Simpson Seaside, California Dee Andrews—Air Force Armstrong Laboratory

Herbert Bell—Air Force Armstrong Laboratory

David W. Bessemer—Army Research Institute

John Boldovici—Army Research Institute

Janis A. Cannon-Bowers—Naval Air Warfare Center Training Systems Division

Kenneth D. Cross—Bayview Research

Philip Djang—TRADOC Analysis Center

John Ellis—Navy Personnel Research and Development Center

Dorothy Finley—Army Research Institute

Dan Gardner—Office of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Readiness

Edward L.George—TRADOC Analysis Center

Joseph Hagman—Army Research Institute

Fred Hartman—Institute for Defense Analyses

John Hayes—Army Research Institute

Jack H. Hiller—Army Research Institute

Donald Johnson—Office of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Readiness

Richard Kass—Army Test and Experimentation Command

Peter Kincaid—University of Central Florida Institute for Simulation and Training

Richard Laferriere—TRADOC Analysis Center

Jack Leather—Defense Manpower Data Center

Douglas Macpherson—Army Research Institute

Angelo Mirabella—Army Research Institute

Franklin L. Moses—Army Research Institute

Randy Oser—Naval Air Warfare Center Training Systems Division

Jesse Orlansky¹—Institute for Defense Analyses

Ruth Phelps—Army Research Institute

William Rankin—Naval Air Warfare Center Training Systems Division

J. Wesley Regian—Air Force Armstrong Laboratory

Robin Rose—TRADOC Analysis Center

Eduardo Salas—Naval Air Warfare Center Training Systems Division

Robert Seidel—Army Research Institute

Uldi Shvern—Army Operational Test and Experimentation Command

Henry L. Taylor—Institute of Aviation, University of Illinois

Diana Tierney—Deputy Chief of Staff for Training, TRADOC

Robert Worley—Institute for Defense Analyses

<sup>1</sup> Deceased.

#### Problem and Issues

The Department of Defense Office of the Inspector General (DoDIG) conducted an audit concerning the impact on readiness of training simulators and devices. The audit focused particular attention on shortcomings in evaluation of large-scale training simulations (LSTS). The DoDIG recommended that the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness establish policy and procedures to evaluate the training and cost-effectiveness of LSTS. One of the Under Secretary's responses to this request was to direct the Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) to develop guidelines to help evaluators conduct evaluations and a historical training effectiveness data base. This manual describes the work performed by DMDC and the resulting products and actions: Guidance to help evaluators design meaningful training effectiveness evaluations, descriptions of procedures for alternative methods, methodological examples, historical training effectiveness data base, and user access to the data base. These products and actions are intended to help the military Services determine when and how to evaluate the training and cost-effectiveness of LSTS.

#### Objectives

Objectives of this manual are to:

- Provide guidance to help analysts design meaningful training effectiveness evaluations.
- Describe procedures for alternative methods of conducting training effectiveness evaluations.
- Provide examples of training effectiveness evaluations that may be used as models to emulate.

#### Method

The method consisted of literature review, development of a historical training and cost-effectiveness data base, analyses, development of guidelines, identification of case studies, and review of findings by subject-matter experts.

#### **Evaluation Guidance**

This manual contains evaluation guidance in eight chapters and two appendices. Chapter 1 (Introduction) describes the problem and issues, objectives, method, and shows where the manual addresses its objectives. Chapter 2 (Building an Evaluation Framework) explains why people conduct training effectiveness evaluations and starts to build an evaluation framework for LSTS by attempting to answer fundamental questions about the evaluation process (Whose training is evaluated? What is evaluated? Where to evaluate? How to evaluate? What are evaluation criteria? When to evaluate?). Chapter 3 (Evaluation Methods) describes the methods commonly used in military training effectiveness evaluations and provides examples of their application. Chapter 4 (Case Studies) reviews two well-documented evaluations of LSTS: SIMNET/CCTT (Simulation Networking/Close Combat Tactical Trainer) and MDT2 (Multi-Service Distributed Training Testbed). Chapter 5 (Evaluation Problem Areas) contrasts laboratory and field evaluations, discusses lessons learned from past evaluations, and critiques field evaluation practice. Chapter 6 (Procedural Guidance) identifies and summarizes published evaluation guidance. Chapter 7 (Evaluation Criteria) discusses how evaluation criteria differ depending upon evaluation method, for small- and large-scale evaluations, and perspective. Chapter 8 (Evaluation Framework) presents the evaluation framework. Appendix A (Reference Lists for Chapter 3) contains reference lists. Appendix B (Acronyms) defines acronyms. Author and Subject indexes are provided.

## CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	1
Overview	
Problem and Issues	
Objectives	
MethodRoad Map: Where This Guide Addresses Each of Its Objectives	
CHAPTER 2. BUILDING AN EVALUATION FRAMEWORK	
Why Evaluate?	
Whose Training Is Evaluated?	
Where To Evaluated:	
How To Evaluate?	16
What Are Evaluation Criteria?	
When To Evaluate?	22
CHAPTER 3. EVALUATION METHODS	25
Experiments	
Judgment-Based Evaluations	
Analytical Evaluations	
•	
CHAPTER 4. CASE STUDIES	
Finding Cases Two Good Cases	
SIMNET/CCTT	
MDT2	
Reference List 4-1. SIMNET/CCTT Publications	
Reference List 4-2. MDT2 Publications	70
CHAPTER 5. EVALUATION PROBLEM AREAS	73
Field Versus Laboratory Evaluations	73
Lessons Learned	
Critiques of Field Evaluation Practice	80
CHAPTER 6. PROCEDURAL GUIDANCE	85
Evaluation Methods	
System and Program Evaluation Frameworks	93
Collective and Team Training	
CHAPTER 7. EVALUATION CRITERIA	
Defining Evaluation Criteria  Evaluation Criteria for an LSTS Evaluation	
CHAPTER 8. EVALUATION FRAMEWORK	
Evaluation Objectives	
Evaluation Principles	
Reference List & 1. Evaluation Framework	110

REFERE	NCES	137
	DIX A. REFERENCE LISTS FOR CHAPTER 3view	
	ence List A-1. True Experiment	
	rence List A-2. Pre-Experiment	
Refer	rence List A-3. Quasi-Experiment	163
	rence List A-4. Test	
Refer	ence List A-5. Transfer Experiment	166
	ence List A-6. Ex Post Facto	
	rence List A-7. Judgment (Users)	
	rence List A-8. Judgment (SMEs)	
	rence List A-9. Judgment (Analysts)	
	rence List A-10. Analysis (Evalute)	
	rence List A-11. Analysis (Compare)	
	rence List A-12. Analysis (Optimize)	
Refer	rence List A-13. Survey	176
APPEND	DIX B. ACRONYMS	179
AUTHO	R INDEX	183
SUBJEC	T INDEX	187
FIGURE	S	
4-1	TARGETs data for planning phase (from Orlansky et al., 1997)	63
4-2	TOM data for planning phase (from Orlansky et al., 1997)	
5-1	Notional representation of a simple inverse relationship between internal and extern	nal
	validity for laboratory and field studies (adapted from Shute and Regian, 1993)	76
6-1	Test Officer's Guide for Designing Valid Tests and Experiments	
	(from Kass, 1997, reproduced courtesy of the author)	88
TABLES		
2-1	Some Key Evaluation Questions	10
2-2	Training Content, Echelon, and Training Versus Education Taxonomy	
2-3	Training Type and Subtype Taxonomy	
2-4	Frequency of Usage of Common Evaluation Methods and Submethods	19
2-5	Levels of Data Commonly Associated with Evaluation Methods	
3-1	Three True Experimental Designs (from Campbell & Stanley, 1966)	
3-2	Three Pre-Experimental Designs (from Campbell & Stanley, 1966)	
3-3	Ten Quasi-Experimental Designs (from Campbell & Stanley, 1966)	
3-4	Three Classes of Transfer Designs (adapted from Pfeiffer & Browning, 1984)	34
3-5	Frequencies and Percentages of Usage of Analyst-, SME-,	•
2 (	and User Judgment-Based Evaluations in TCEF Sample	38
3-6	Frequencies and Percentages of Usage of Three Classes of Analysis (Evaluate, Compare, or Optimize) for Existing Versus Hypothetical Systems	41

## TABLES (continued)

4-1	SIMNET/CCTT Evaluations by Authors and Evaluation Method and Submethod	54
4-2	Simulators, Service, Types of Participant, and Locations Linked	
	in MDT2 (adapted from Orlansky et al., 1997)	60
4-3	Dimensions and Subdimensions of TOM (Teamwork Observation Measure)	
	(adapted from Orlansky et al., 1997).	62
4-4	Bombing Performance for February 1995 Exercise (from Orlansky et al., 1997)	64
4-5	CAS Kills, Misses, and Average Engagement Time for February 1995 Exercise	
	(adapted from Orlansky et al., 1997).	64
4-6	Results of MDT2 Survey (from Orlansky et al., 1997).	65
5-1	Potential Limitations of Reported Findings on Training Device Effectiveness	
	(Based on N=35 evaluations) (adapted from Kraemer & Rowatt, 1993)	83
7-1	Dependent Variables Used in SIMNET/CCTT Evaluations by Authors	
	and Evaluation Methods	. 102
7-2	Consolidated List of Recommended Dependent Measures by Author	. 108
7-3	Consolidated List or Recommended Dependent Measures with Descriptions	
8-1	Common Objectives for Conducting Training Effectiveness Evaluations	.112
8-2	Descriptive Summary of Representative Evaluations for Evaluation Objective 2A:	
	Predict Training Effectiveness	.118
8-3	Descriptive Summary of Representative Evaluations for Evaluation Objective 2B:	
	Measure Training Effectiveness	.119
8-4	Evaluation Criteria by Study for Objective 2B: Measure Training Effectiveness	.119
8-5	Descriptive Summary of Representative Evaluations for Evaluation Objective 2C:	
	Determine Training Effectiveness	. 121
8-6	Descriptive Summary of Representative Evaluations for Evaluation Objective 3B:	
	Measure Transfer of Training	. 123
8-7	Evaluation Criteria by Study for Objective 3B: Measure Transfer of Training	. 123
8-8	Descriptive Summary of Representative Evaluations for Evaluation Objective 4B	
	(Measure User Acceptance) and 4C (Determine User Acceptance)	. 127
8-9	Descriptive Summary of Representative Evaluations for Evaluation Objective 5(AB):	
	Support Training Design	. 128
8-10	Descriptive Summary of Representative Evaluations for Evaluation Objective 5C:	
	Determine Training Status	. 129